

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address; COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		'.	and the second of the second o	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/926,326	10/15/2001	Piero Del Soldato	108907-00020	5184
4372 759	90 11/05/2003	7 ;	EXAMI	NER
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			RAYMOND, RICHARD L	
SUITE 400	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			1624	α
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003	δ'

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
<i>.</i> ∙	09/926,326	DEL SOLDATO, PIERO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Richard L. Raymond	1624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the c ver sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MO	NTH(S) FROM			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replace of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statustance of the period for reply will, and the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a repolar within the statutory minimum of thirty (I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHE, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	August 2003 .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow					
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applicatio					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the state of the proposed drawing correction filed on					
<u> </u>	_ , ,,	approved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Adminor.				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	un priority under 35 II S.C. & :	119(a) (d) or (f)			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	in priority under 35 0.5.C. §	119(a)-(d) 01 (1).			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	te have been received				
Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document		olication No			
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •				
application from the International Bo * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).			
 a) The translation of the foreign language pr 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 	• •				
Attachment(s)		-			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infe	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			



Application/Control Number: 09/926,326

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Pursuant to the requirement for election of species, applicants have elected to compound of example 6, a compound of formula (I) where the precursor drug is flurbiprofen and the precursor of B is ferulic acid. Claims 1-10 are readable thereon.

Improper Markush Rejection

2. Claims 1-10 are rejected as being improper Markush claims in the definition of the B-C linker. So substituted, the resulting total compounds lack a common core and are structurally diverse and patentable distinct one from the others. A reference anticipating one under 35 USC 102 would not be a reference against the others under 35 USC 103. Limitation of the claims to B-C linkers limited to those containing a -C(O)O- or -C(O)S- group, encompassing the elected species, will overcome this rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. (1) A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired.



Application/Control Number: 09/926,326

Art Unit: 1624

In the present instance, claim 1 recites the term "preferably" in the various definitions, and includes definitions of varying scope, for example, B and B₁, C and C₁ and Y₁, Y₂ and Y₃. (2) No antecedent basis in seen in claim 1 for theY₃ variable in dependent claim 3. Thus claim 3 is an improper dependent claim. (3) Claim 8 is a substantial duplicate of claim 1 upon which it is dependent since no patentable significance is normally given to statements of intended use. (4) Claim 9 provides for the use of the compounds of claim 1, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard L. Raymond whose telephone number is (703) 308-4523.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:30AM-8:00PM)).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund J. Shah can be reached on 305-4716. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Richard IV. Raymon Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1624